

UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

U
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

APPLICATION NO. 09/219,195

12/21/98

FILING DATE

LEE

F SA997115

WM01/0305

MONICA D LEE IBM CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW 5600 COTTLE ROAD L2PA 0142 SAN JOSE CA 95193 ALTMAN, F
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2652

DATE MAILED:

03/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No.	Applicant(a)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/219,195	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Franklin D. Altman	2652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);		
(c) 🔀 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-43</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a	a) has b) has not been app	proved by the Examiner.
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
11. Other:		
DAVID L. OMETZ		
		PRIMARY EXAMINER

significant additional search and consideration.



Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment significantly amends each of the independent claims to add additional details of the circuitry of previously unclaimed connecting ends (1) the interconnect device coupling the connecting ends, the connecting end of 1st device positioned in a first direction, connecting end of second device positioned in a second direction, (2) connecting end of the suspension in a first direction, connecting end of the slider in a second direction, (3) a suspension having a connecting end and a microactuator having a connecting end having a connecting end and a suspension, the suspension having a connecting end, the interconnect module coupling the connecting ends of the slider head assembly and the suspension, (5) a suspension having a connecting end and a microactuator having a connecting end, the interconnect module coupling the connecting ends of the suspension and the microactuator. These five geometries of connecting ends which were not previously claimed are the new issues which require